

ORCID ID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2588-4941>

Taranenko A. G., Kyiv International University

PEACE AS PROCESS: RECIPES FOR RUSSIA-UKRAINE WAR SETTLEMENT

The Russia-Ukraine war is one of the most serious challenges of current international security agenda. Conflict resolution is an integral part of national security framework of any country. Comprehensive national security encompasses such components as political, military, informational, ideological, as well as cultural aspects. The research problem is that it is unknown which methods can be the most appropriate for Russia-Ukraine war settlement. The research goal is to analyze the current state of Russia-Ukraine war in depth and identify important lessons learned from previous confrontations that can be applied for the peace process invigoration. In particular, the research objectives are to analyze the cases of peaceful settlements in the Balkan states and Great Britain and also consider the peacemaking potential of international organizations, such as the EU, the Organization for Security and Co-operation in Europe (OSCE), the GUAM Organization for Democracy and Economic Development (GUAM) and Baltic – Adriatic – Black Sea Initiative. The selected methodology is qualitative case study.

The study results are that one can find a lot of important lessons learned in the Balkan region. Sustainable peace in the Balkans can be achieved by including this region in the system of Euro-Atlantic cooperation. In the same vein as the Balkan countries, Ukraine should look for long-term political stability in the framework of Euro-Atlantic integration. Besides, a case of particular interest for Ukraine is the Troubles peace process in Great Britain. The main conclusions are that the Balkan states' and Great Britain's experience in conflict resolution can be of particular practical interest for Ukraine. One can note that peace is not a particular point in time, but rather a continuous process. Besides, one should pay attention to information component of the peace process and inform audiences about peacemaking efforts to the extent possible. In order to settle the Russia-Ukraine war in an efficient way one should also pay attention to the memory policy component. Ukraine should also use multilateral diplomacy tools, such as international organizations, in particular, the EU, OSCE, GUAM and Baltic-Black Sea-Adriatic Initiative in order to further fight international law infringements and promote the peace process. Prospects of further research are related to usage of cultural diplomacy and track-II diplomacy tools for the Russia-Ukraine war settlement.

Keywords: Russia-Ukraine war, Ukraine, peace, OSCE, the European Union.

Conflicts and wars can be classified as universal phenomena. They have been a part of humankind history since the first stages of the society development. For this reason, it is safe to say that the humankind has accumulated an enormous amount of knowledge and lessons learned in the realm of conflict resolution. Thereby conflicts can be considered as complex problems, which require equally complex and long-term solutions [17, p. 1].

Conflict resolution is an integral part of national security framework of any country. Comprehensive national security encompasses such components as political, military, informational, ideological, food and water, as well as cultural aspects. The research problem is that it is unknown which methods can be the most appropriate for Russia-Ukraine war settlement. In accordance with the Law of Ukraine «On National Security of Ukraine» (2018, Chapter 2 Article 3 Item 2.2), one of the fundamental principles of Ukrainian security framework is compliance with the international law norms and Ukraine's participation in mechanisms of international collective security [3, p. 1]. It is outlined that one of the key national interests is gaining membership in the European Union (EU) and North-Atlantic Treaty Organization (NATO). Ukraine, as a founding member state of the United Nations (UN) committed to abiding by the organization's principles and values, including conflict resolution. According to the UN Charter (1945, Chapter VI, Article 33), the parties to any dispute, the continuance of which is likely to endanger the maintenance of international peace and security, shall, first of all, seek a solution by negotiation,

enquiry, mediation, conciliation, arbitration and judicial settlement [20, p. 7]. Ukraine's security was breached in 2014 and the country is now facing the challenge of protecting its national sovereignty, settling Russia-Ukraine war in the East of the country and reintegrating the Crimea. The novelty of research is stipulated by the origin and character of the Russia-Ukraine war since it is considered as one of the most serious challenges of current international security agenda and its efficient resolution is an urgent task for guaranteeing stability in the European region.

The research goal is to analyze the current state of Russia-Ukraine war in depth and identify important lessons learned from previous regional conflicts that can be applied in order to mitigate the confrontation in the East of Ukraine and reintegrate the Crimea. In particular, the research objectives are to analyze the cases of peaceful settlements in the Balkan states and Great Britain and also consider the peacemaking potential of international organizations, such as the EU, the Organization for Security and Co-operation in Europe (OSCE), the GUAM Organization for Democracy and Economic Development (GUAM) and Baltic – Adriatic – Black Sea Initiative. The selected methodology is qualitative case study. Some of the research restrictions are the qualitative nature of the study aimed at investigating a phenomenon in depth rather than making generalizations, studying mainly the current stage of the war without delving deeper into its origins and stance of qualitative researcher as an instrument of the study. Major sources of references are laws of Ukraine and international legislation, peer-reviewed scholarly literature, monographs, analytical reports of research agencies and international organizations, as well as statements of political leaders.

1. Literature Review

The topic of conflict resolution has been studied by numerous researchers worldwide and, in particular, the Russia-Ukraine war has become a highly debated topic internationally since 2014. Researchers express various opinions about the Russia-Ukraine war and provide different assessments, however one of the most prominent conclusions is that this confrontation is one of the most serious challenges for Eastern European security agenda. Per Păduraru and Voicu, the conflict generated multiple events which changed the geopolitical configuration of Eurasia [16, p. 58]. Hale states that the reproduction of a European identity has played an important role in Ukraine's dealings with the West [18, p. 39]. As Garrone points out, in Eastern Europe, the Russian annexation of Crimea and the Ukrainian war design a stage of crisis and instability [19, p. 8]. Thereby Bebler holds that the political and legal stand-off between Ukraine and the Russian Federation will undoubtedly continue indefinitely having created a new and long lasting «frozen» conflict in Europe [11, p. 206]. Smolij and Kulchytsky note that it is highly probable that drawing Ukraine at its incipient stage of comprehensive civil society development into a new type of 21st century war initiated a series of transformations whose implications are difficult to foresee, given post-Soviet legacy of Ukrainian statehood [7, p. 565]. Tolstov holds that in case of its “freezing” the situation in Donbas will pose a potential and real threat for economy and security of Ukraine, as well as present a point of tension in economic and military relations between the Russian Federation and the Western countries [10, p. 117]. Thus, it is necessary to identify appropriate lessons learned of previous peaceful settlements and implement relevant solutions for the Russia-Ukraine case.

2. Results and Discussion

Conflict resolution in a particular country often largely depends on geopolitical situation in the region. Ensuring national security is crucial for the Ukrainian society today. The Russia-Ukraine war has become one of the most important international security threats in recent years. The conflict has killed more than 13,000 people since 2014, thus becoming the biggest armed conflict in Europe since World War II and the greatest source of tension since the Cold War [15, p. 1]. The conflict has heightened tensions in Russia's relations with both the United States and Europe, complicating the prospects for cooperation elsewhere including on issues of terrorism, arms control, and a political solution in Syria [12, p. 1].

Apparently, President of Ukraine Volodymyr Zelensky made the war settlement a foreign policy priority on his agenda. In line with the law of Ukraine «On Foundations of Foreign Policy», preventing conflicts in regions bordering on Ukraine and resolving existing conflicts is considered one of the cornerstones of domestic and foreign policy of the country («Law of Ukraine «On Foundations of Domestic and Foreign Policy» 2018: Article 11, Item 2) [2, p. 1]. It is important to note that aside from political and diplomatic aspects one of the most important integrating factors for the Ukrainian society today can be the level of economic growth. Modern Ukrainian society has a significant consolidation potential despite the ambivalence of aspirations of political elites and a low level of people's trust in government, economic and legal institutions [8, p. 38].

2.1. *The Balkan States Case*

Regarding peaceful settlements experience of other countries of the region one can find a lot of vital lessons learned in the experience of the Balkan states. In particular, their experience proves the complexity of solving memory policy problems. Strategy of state history policy development should be planned for decades ahead and one should not expect a quick result [4, p. 68]. The Serbian society stays divided into liberal pro-European segment oriented toward Euro-Atlantic integration and nationalistic segment whose representatives aspire to revive the «Great Serbia» project rejected at the turn of the 21st century. Apparently, peace to the Balkans can only be brought by finalizing the process of including this region in the system of European and Euro-Atlantic integration [5, p. 101].

The European Union states are making efforts in order to integrate the Balkan countries in cultural, political and military terms under the EU enlargement agenda. In 2019 the project of joint defense of the European Union was started seriously and realistically for the first time. Germany, France, the Czech Republic and Poland, in particular, are working on such steps as withstanding cyberwars and formation of rapid response forces. These steps will promote more efficient European and Euro-Atlantic integration of all Balkan countries. Currently only Slovenia, Croatia, Bulgaria and Romania among the Balkan states are the EU members, while Albania, Bosnia and Herzegovina, Kosovo, Serbia, Northern Macedonia and Montenegro are aspiring to join the European Union [5, p. 114]. Yet, these Balkan countries are being actively involved in vital European security issues.

Ukraine is also attempting to utilize the international organizations' potential for the Russia- Ukraine war settlement. Joining the European Union and the North-Atlantic Treaty Organization were defined as Ukraine's foreign policy goals and enshrined in the Constitution (Law of Ukraine «On Amendments to the Constitution of Ukraine (Concerning the Strategic Course of the State for the Acquisition of Full Membership of Ukraine in the European Union and the North Atlantic Treaty Organization» 2019: Article 1 Item 2) [1, p. 1]. Besides, Ukraine reckons on the Organization for Security and Co-operation in Europe support in mitigating and settling the war. According to its mission, the OSCE works to prevent conflicts from arising and to facilitate lasting comprehensive political settlements of existing conflicts and it is a key instrument for early warning, crisis management and post-conflict rehabilitation [13, p. 1]. OSCE remains a key international mediator in the confrontation, an integral member of the Trilateral Contact Group on Ukraine and continues serving as communication grounds for the conflicting parties. Besides, Ukraine's involvement in GUAM is aimed at making its voice heard in withstanding annexation of the Crimea. Ukraine is making efforts to utilize geopolitical capacities of relevant international organizations in order to restore the breached national sovereignty. It is also recommended for Ukraine to focus on optimal models of creating Baltic-Black Sea-Adriatic Union which could become a serious ally in fighting for reintegration of the Crimea to Ukraine [6, p. 68]. One of the successful security strategies for Ukraine can be collaboration with neighbor Eastern European countries, specifically Poland and the Baltic states.

2.2. *Great Britain Case*

A case that can be of interest for Ukraine as well is peaceful settlement in Great Britain and, in particular, the Troubles negotiation. Schneider suggests six lessons from the Northern Ireland peace process [17, p. 1]. First of all, negotiators should keep in mind that peace is not an event, but rather a complicated process of building trust. Schneider claims that in order to reach peace one needs to be constantly making efforts in order avoid confrontation and prevent misunderstandings and potentially loaded issues [17, p. 1].

The second lesson is that all of the factions that are part of the existing problem need to become a part of its solution. The British government had to engage in initially covert negotiations with the Irish Republican Army (IRA), including Gerry Adams, President of Sinn Féin, and Martin McGuinness, leader of Provisional Irish Republican Army. The official Ukrainian government currently deals with the representatives of the Luhansk People's Republic (LPR) and the Donetsk People's Republic (DPR) via Trilateral Contact Group including Ukraine, the Russian Federation, and the OSCE. Volodymyr Zelensky also insists on the U.S. involvement in the negotiations format, and the Russian Federation is currently considering its stance.

The third lesson is closely related to the aforementioned statement, namely that an effective peace process requires identification of potential peacemakers within the opposing parties. In the Northern Ireland case British diplomat Jonathan Powell was involved in the conflict resolution. Powell and Adams had been covertly conducting negotiations on the peace process for over a decade in order to build trust and achieve further progress. During the December 2019 Normandy summit President Zelensky overtly stated in presence of the President of the Russian Federation Volodymyr Putin that Donbas is occupied. Yet, the work of the Trilateral Contact Group on Ukraine continues and the conflicting parties are looking for further appropriate solutions.

The fourth lesson is that military action will not solve a conflict by itself. Yet, security pressure is a major prerequisite to force rebel groups to the negotiation table. Although the British security forces were perceived by the Irish Nationalists as occupational those were instrumental in helping the IRA realize that their goals could hardly be achieved through violence [17, p. 4].

The fifth lesson is that if there is a political cause of a conflict, there has to be a political situation conducive to establishment of sustainable peace. Ukraine is currently seeking ways to reinvigorate the peace process and decide upon efficiency of Minsk agreements for the peace process. Finally, the sixth lesson is that internationalization of a peace process is recommendable. Internationalization denotes the third party involvement of foreign governments or non-governmental institutions [17, p. 5]. Current Brexit events demonstrate the complexity of complete resolution of the Northern Irish conflict.

Besides, it should be noted that the concept of historical memory policy in Great Britain combines traditional and new practices, contests, reunions, public discussions aimed at strengthening consensus in the society. Great Britain's case demonstrates that development of historical memory politics is an ongoing, systemic, comprehensive and large-scale process [4, p. 17]. This approach can be further studied and implemented by the Ukrainian politicians and experts working on the current peace process.

3. Conclusions

In this paper the author explored the current state of Russia-Ukraine war in order to identify important lessons learned from previous peaceful settlements that can be applied in order to mitigate the confrontation and reintegrate the Crimea. In particular, the research tasks were to analyze the cases of peaceful settlement in the Balkan states and Great Britain and also consider the peace-making potential of international organizations.

One can find a lot of important lessons learned in the Balkan region. Sustainable peace in the Balkans can be achieved by including this region in the system of Euro-Atlantic cooperation. In the same vein, Ukraine should look for long-term political stability in the framework of Euro-Atlantic integration. The case that can be of interest for Ukraine as well is the Troubles peace process in Great Britain. One can note that peace is not a particular point in time, but rather a continuous process. Besides, one should pay attention to information component of the peace process and inform audiences about peacemaking efforts to the extent possible. In order to settle the Russia-Ukraine war in an efficient way one should also pay attention to the memory policy component. Besides, Ukraine should also use multilateral diplomacy tools, such as international organizations, in particular, the EU, OSCE, GUAM and Baltic-Black Sea-Adriatic Initiative in order to further fight international law infringements and promote the peace process. Prospects of further research are related to usage of cultural diplomacy and track-II diplomacy tools for the Russia-Ukraine war settlement.

Бібліографічний список:

1. Інституалізація історичної пам'яті як складова формування національної єдності в країнах світу: досвід для України / ДУ «Інститут всесвітньої історії НАН України»: Київ, 2018. 60 с.
2. Мартинов А. Ю. Балкани в сучасній європейській системі міжнародних відносин. *Проблеми всесвітньої історії*. 2019. № 1. С. 101-116. URL: http://nbuv.gov.ua/UJRN/prwh_2019_1_10 (дата звернення 28.07.2021).
3. Міжнародні аспекти інтеграції Донбасу і Криму в політико-правовий і соціокультурний простір України: аналітична доповідь / Державна установа «Інститут всесвітньої історії НАН України. Київ, 2019. 70 с.
4. Про внесення змін до Конституції України (щодо стратегічного курсу держави на набуття повноправного членства України в Європейському Союзі та в Організації Північноатлантичного договору: Закон України від 7 лют. 2019 р. № 2680-VIII. URL: <https://zakon.rada.gov.ua/laws/show/2680-19> (дата звернення 27.07.2021).
5. Про засади внутрішньої і зовнішньої політики: Закон України від 8 липня 2018 р. № 2411-VI. URL: <https://zakon.rada.gov.ua/laws/show/2411-17> (дата звернення 27.07.2021).
6. Про національну безпеку України: Закон України від 23 квітня 2021 р. № 2469-VIII. URL: <https://zakon.rada.gov.ua/laws/show/2469-19?lang=en> (дата звернення 27.07.2021).
7. Смолій В., Кульчицький С., Якубова Л. Донбас і Крим в економічному, суспільно-політичному та етнокультурному просторі України: історичний досвід, модерні виклики, перспективи: аналітична доповідь. НАН України. Інститут історії України. Київ, 2016. 616 с.
8. Степико М. Т. Консолідаційний потенціал української нації. *Стратегічна панорама*. 2018. № 2. С. 37-46. URL: http://nbuv.gov.ua/UJRN/Stpa_2018_2_7 (дата звернення 28.07.2021).
9. Ткаченко В. М. Україна в пошуках ідентичності (Нариси політичної освіти). Державна установа «Інститут всесвітньої історії НАН України». Київ: Політія, 2018. 560 с.

10. Толстов С. В. Українсько-американські відносини в контексті зовнішньополітичних пріоритетів США. *Проблеми всесвітньої історії*. 2019. № 1(7). С. 117–143.
11. Bebler A. *Frozen Conflicts in Europe*. Opladen, Leverkusen: Verlag Barbara Budrich, 2015. 216 p.
12. Conflict in Ukraine. Recent Developments. Global Conflict Tracker. *Council on Foreign Relations* : веб-сайт. URL: <https://www.cfr.org/interactive/global-conflict-tracker/conflict/conflict-ukraine> (Last accessed: 28.07.2021).
13. Conflict Prevention and Resolution. *Organization for Security and Co-operation in Europe* : веб-сайт. URL: <https://www.osce.org/conflict-prevention-and-resolution> (Last accessed: 28.07.2021).
14. Dunn E.C., Bobick, M. S. The Empire Strikes Back: War without War and Occupation without Occupation in the Russian Sphere of Influence. *American Ethnologist*. 2014. № (21)3. P. 405–413. URL: <https://doi.org/10.1111/amet.12086> (Last accessed: 28.07.2021).
15. Gorchinskaya K. The Normandy Summit Ended With No Breakthroughs. What Has It Achieved? *Forbes*. 2019. URL: <https://www.forbes.com/sites/katyagorchinskaya/2019/12/10/the-normandy-summit-ended-what-has-it-achieved/#4dda167c3061> (Last accessed: 28.07.2021).
16. Limiting Institutions? : The Challenge of Eurasian Security Governance / ed. J. Sperling, S. Kay, V. Papacosma. Manchester : Manchester University Press, 2003. 365 p.
17. Radicalism and Terrorism in the 21st Century: Implications for Security / ed. A. Sroka, F. Castro-Rial Garrone, R. D. Torres Kumbrián. Peter Lang AG: EUP, 2017. 317 p. DOI: doi.org/10.3726/978-3-653-06974-7 (Last accessed: 28.07.2021).
18. Schneider B. The Northern Ireland Peace Process Lessons for Ukraine? *Ukrainian Institute of Research of Extremism*. 2016. URL: <http://uire.org.ua/wp-content/uploads/2016/02/UIRE-Paper-Bernhard-Schneider-1.pdf> (Last accessed: 28.07.2021).
19. Transformation and Development. Studies in the Organization for Security and Cooperation in Europe (OSCE) / ed. A. Mihr. OSCE Academy in Bishkek : SpringerOpen, 2019. 154 p.
20. UN Charter. United Nations. URL: <https://www.un.org/en/about-us/un-charter> (дата звернення 27.07.2021).

References:

1. Instytutalizatsiya istorichnoyi pam'yati yak skladova formuvannya natsional'noyi yednosti v krayinakh svitu: dosvid dlya Ukrainy / DU «Instytut vsesvitn'oyi istoriyi NAN Ukrainy» : Kyiv, 2018. 60 s.
2. Martynov A. YU. Balkany v suchasniy yevropeys'kiy systemi mizhnarodnykh vidnosyn. Problemy vsesvitn'oyi istoriyi. 2019. № 1. S. 101-116. URL: http://nbuv.gov.ua/UJRN/prwh_2019_1_10 (data zvernennya 28.07.2021).
3. Mizhnarodni aspekty intehtatsiyi Donbasu i Krymu v polityko-pravovyy i sotsiokul'turnyy prostir Ukrainy : analychna dopovid' / Derzhavna ustanova «Instytut vsesvitn'oyi istoriyi NAN Ukrainy». Kyiv, 2019. 70 s.
4. Pro vnesennya zmin do Konstytutsiyi Ukrainy (shchodo stratehichnoho kursu derzhavy na nabuttya povnopravnoho chlenstva Ukrainy v Yevropeys'komu Soyuzi ta v Orhanizatsiyi Pivnichnoatlantychnoho dohovoru : Zakon Ukrainy vid 7 lyut. 2019 r. № 2680-VIII. URL: <https://zakon.rada.gov.ua/laws/show/2680-19> (data zvernennya 27.07.2021).
5. Pro zasady vnutrishn'oyi i zovnishn'oyi polityky : Zakon Ukrainy vid 8 lypnya 2018 r. № 2411-VI. URL: <https://zakon.rada.gov.ua/laws/show/2411-17> (data zvernennya 27.07.2021).
6. Pro natsional'nu bezpeku Ukrainy : Zakon Ukrainy vid 23 kvitnya 2021 r. № 2469-VIII. URL: <https://zakon.rada.gov.ua/laws/show/2469-19?lang=en> (data zvernennya 27.07.2021).
7. Smoliy V., Kul'chyts'kyi S., Yakubova L. Donbas i Krym v ekonomichnomu, suspil'no-politychnomu ta etnokul'turnomu prostori Ukrainy: istorichnyy dosvid, moderni vyklyky, perspektyvy: analychna dopovid'. NAN Ukrainy. Instytut istoriyi Ukrainy. Kyiv, 2016. 616 s.
8. Stepyko M. T. Konsolidatsiyyny potentsial ukraïns'koyi natsiyi. Stratehichna panorama. 2018. № 2. S. 37-46. URL: http://nbuv.gov.ua/UJRN/Stpa_2018_2_7 (data zvernennya 28.07.2021).
9. Tkachenko V. M. Ukraina v poshukakh identychnosti (Narysy politychnoyi osvity). Derzhavna ustanova «Instytut vsesvitn'oyi istoriyi NAN Ukrainy». Kyiv : Politiya, 2018. 560 s.
10. Tolstov S. V. Ukraïns'ko-amerykans'ki vidnosyn v konteksti zovnishn'opolitychnykh priorytetiv SSHA. Problemy vsesvitn'oyi istoriyi. 2019. № 1(7). S. 117–143.
11. Bebler A. *Frozen Conflicts in Europe*. Opladen, Leverkusen: Verlag Barbara Budrich, 2015. 216 p.
12. Conflict in Ukraine. Recent Developments. Global Conflict Tracker. *Council on Foreign Relations* : веб-сайт. URL: <https://www.cfr.org/interactive/global-conflict-tracker/conflict/conflict-ukraine> (Last accessed: 28.07.2021).
13. Conflict Prevention and Resolution. *Organization for Security and Co-operation in Europe* : веб-сайт. URL: <https://www.osce.org/conflict-prevention-and-resolution> (Last accessed: 28.07.2021).
14. Dunn E.C., Bobick, M. S. The Empire Strikes Back: War without War and Occupation without Occupation in the Russian Sphere of Influence. *American Ethnologist*. 2014. № (21)3. P. 405–413. URL: <https://doi.org/10.1111/amet.12086> (Last accessed: 28.07.2021).
15. Gorchinskaya K. The Normandy Summit Ended With No Breakthroughs. What Has It Achieved? *Forbes*. 2019. URL: <https://www.forbes.com/sites/katyagorchinskaya/2019/12/10/the-normandy-summit-ended-what-has-it-achieved/#4dda167c3061> (Last accessed: 28.07.2021).

16. Limiting Institutions? : The Challenge of Eurasian Security Governance / ed. J. Sperling, S. Kay, V. Papacosma. Manchester : Manchester University Press, 2003. 365 p.
17. Radicalism and Terrorism in the 21st Century: Implications for Security / ed. A. Sroka, F. Castro-Rial Garrone, R. D. Torres Kumbrián. Peter Lang AG: EUP, 2017. 317 p. DOI: doi.org/10.3726/978-3-653-06974-7 (Last accessed: 28.07.2021).
18. Schneider B. The Northern Ireland Peace Process Lessons for Ukraine? *Ukrainian Institute of Research of Extremism*. 2016. URL: <http://uire.org.ua/wp-content/uploads/2016/02/UIRE-Paper-Bernhard-Schneider-1.pdf> (Last accessed: 28.07.2021).
19. Transformation and Development. Studies in the Organization for Security and Cooperation in Europe (OSCE) / ed. A. Mihr. OSCE Academy in Bishkek : SpringerOpen, 2019. 154 p.
20. UN Charter. United Nations. URL: <https://www.un.org/en/about-us/un-charter> (дата звернення 27.07.2021).

Тараненко Г. Г. Мир як процес: шляхи врегулювання російсько-української війни.

Російсько-українська війна є одним із найсерйозніших викликів на поточному порядку денному глобальних безпекових питань. Розв'язання конфліктів є невід'ємною частиною системи національної безпеки будь-якої країни. Комплексний підхід до питань гарантування національної безпеки охоплює такі компоненти, як політичний, військовий, інформаційний, ідеологічний, а також культурний аспекти. Мета дослідження полягає в аналізі поточного стану російсько-української війни та визначенні важливих уроків, винесених з попередніх криз, які можуть бути застосовані для розв'язання конфлікту. Цілі дослідження полягають у аналізі кейсів мирного врегулювання в балканських державах та Великій Британії, а також врахування миротворчого потенціалу міжнародних організацій, таких як ЄС, Організація з безпеки та співробітництва в Європі (ОБСЄ), Організація за демократію та економічний розвиток (ГУАМ) та Балтійсько – Адріатично – Чорноморська ініціатива. Обрана методологія є якісним тематичним дослідженням (кейс-стаді).

У результатах дослідження варто зазначити, що особливий інтерес для України являють засоби розв'язання конфліктів на Балканах та у Великій Британії. Можна знайти багато важливих уроків, винесених з врегулювання балканських криз. Сталого миру на Балканах можна досягти, включивши цей регіон до системи євроатлантичного співробітництва. Подібно до балканських країн, Україні слід шукати довгострокової політичної стабільності в рамках євроатлантичної інтеграції. Щодо кейсу Великої Британії слід звернути увагу на інформаційну складову процесу розв'язання конфлікту та інформувати аудиторію про миротворчі зусилля наскільки це можливо. Для ефективного врегулювання російсько-української війни слід також звернути увагу на компонент політики пам'яті. Можна зазначити, що мир – це не певний момент у часі, а радше тривалий і постійний процес. Основні висновки полягають у тому, що досвід Великої Британії та балканських країн у розв'язанні конфліктів може являти собою особливий практичний інтерес для України. Україні також слід використовувати потенціал багатосторонніх інструментів дипломатії таких, як міжнародні організації, зокрема, ЄС, ОБСЄ, ГУАМ та Ініціативу Балтійського, Адріатичного, Чорного морів (проект Тримор'я) з метою подальшої боротьби з порушеннями міжнародного права та сприяння врегулюванню військового конфлікту. Перспективи подальших досліджень пов'язані з використанням культурної дипломатії та інструментів дипломатії «другого рівня» (*track-II diplomacy*) для врегулювання російсько-української війни.

Ключові слова: російсько-українська війна, Україна, мир, ОБСЄ, Європейський Союз.